



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,732	09/22/2000	Naoki Tsumura	2271/62515 9975	
7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Ivan S Kavrukov Esq Cooper & Dunham LLP			. CHANG, ERIC	
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/667,732	TSUMURA, NAOKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eric Chang	2116			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	[36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	<u>une 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) <b>f</b> e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/667,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,656,318 to Noyes in view of U.S. Patent 5,910,978 to Maytal et al.
- 4. As to claim 1, Noyes discloses a communication apparatus connected to an analog communication network, the apparatus comprising:
- [a] a line interface circuit for connecting to the analog network [FIG. 1, element 16, and col. 3, lines 36-40];
- [b] a digital signal processing circuit coupled to the line interface circuit for modulating and demodulating signals [FIG. 1, elements 13-14, and col. 3, lines 40-53];
- [c] a digital interface circuit between the line interface circuit and the digital signal processing circuit that electrically isolates signals between the two circuits [FIG. 2, elements 22-24, and col. 4, lines 6-10]; and

Art Unit: 2116

[d] a power-saving control device to suspend operation of the communication apparatus during a power-saving state, and resume operation of the apparatus when an incoming call signal is received by the network control signal during the power-saving state [FIG. 1, element 15&19, and col. 2, lines 10-21].

Noyes teaches all of the limitations of the claim but does not teach that a digital signal processing unit performs the modulation/demodulation and incoming call signal detection.

Maytal teaches a modern for connecting a computer to an analog communication device [col. 2, lines 33-26]. Thus, Maytal teaches a communication terminal apparatus similar to that of Noyes. Maytal further teaches that it is well known in the art that a digital signal processing unit performs the modulation/demodulation and incoming call signal detection [col. 2, lines 27-35].

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the digital signal processor as taught by Maytal.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that a single circuit can handle the modulation/demodulation of signals, as well as the initiation of communication comprising dialing, hook and ring detection, and the like.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of implementing an interface between a computer and an analog communication network. Moreover, the digital signal processor means taught by Maytal would improve the efficiency of Noyes because it allowed the response times during communication to be within acceptable parameters [col. 1, lines 16-21].

Art Unit: 2116

- 5. As to claims 3-5, Noyes discloses the various sections of the communications apparatus, such as the network control section are connected by lines that are used to transmit the proper control signals when the apparatus is placed into a power-saving state, or when it is being placed return-to-normal state, substantially as claimed [FIG. 1, and col. 3, lines 57]. It is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that such lines may comprise dedicated lines, common signal lines, or serial communications lines, substantially as claimed.
- 6. As to claim 6, Noyes and Maytal disclose a communication apparatus comprising circuits for performing the tasks, including interfacing with the network, modulating/demodulating signals, isolating components, and providing power-saving control, substantially as claimed. Because Noyes and Maytal teach the apparatus comprising circuits for performing these tasks, Noyes and Maytal also teach the apparatus comprising means for performing these tasks.
- 7. As to claim 7, Noyes and Maytal disclose a communication apparatus comprising circuits for performing the tasks, including interfacing with the network, modulating/demodulating signals, isolating components, and providing power-saving control, substantially as claimed. Because Noyes and Maytal teach the apparatus comprising circuits for performing these tasks, Noyes and Maytal also teach the method comprising the steps of performing these tasks, wherein the apparatus resumes normal operation of modulating/demodulating signals for use on the analog network when it

Art Unit: 2116

receives an incoming call while in a power-saving mode. Furthermore, Noyes teaches that the host computer for the apparatus may further comprise a "sleep" mode, and it is well known in the art that sleep modes for computers and their related apparatuses may be entered when they have been idle for a pre-determined period of time, substantially as claimed [col. 7, lines 46-50].

- 8. As to claim 8, Noyes discloses a method of controlling a communication terminal comprising:
- [a] a modem for communicating with an analog network system [FIG. 1, elements 13-14, and col. 3, lines 40-53];
- [b] electrically isolating signals between the line interface circuit and the digital signal processing circuit that [FIG. 2, elements 22-24, and col. 4, lines 6-10];
- [c] selectively providing a first control signal to place the apparatus in a low-power state to save power [col. 5, lines 8-11, and col. 7, lines 27-34];
- [d] selectively providing a second control signal to place the apparatus in an active state for communicating on the network [col. 5, lines 8-11, and col. 7, lines 51-64]; and
- [e] thereby saving power while retaining the ability to return to an active state [col. 2, lines 10-21];
- 9. As to claims 10 and 14, Noyes discloses suspending the apparatus by reducing an amount of power supplied to the modem subsystem [col. 2, lines 10-13].

Art Unit: 2116

- 10. As to claims 11 and 15, Noyes discloses the power-saving state of the apparatus is further controlled by a CPU [col. 3, lines 58-68, and col. 5, lines 8-11].
- 11. As to claim 12, Noyes and Maytal disclose a method comprising steps for performing the tasks, including providing a modem subsystem, and selectively providing signals to place the apparatus into a lower-power state to save power and subsequently returning the apparatus from said state, substantially as claimed. Because Noyes and Maytal teach the method, Noyes and Maytal also teach the apparatus for performing these tasks.
- 12. Claims 2, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,656,318 to Noyes, Noyes in view of U.S. Patent 5,910,978 to Maytal et al., and in further view of U.S. Patent 6,445,733 to Zuranski et al.
- 13. As to claims 2, 9 and 13, Noyes and Maytal disclose a modem, comprising electrical isolation of network signals, that provides for a low-power state from which the apparatus can be returned to the active state, substantially as claimed.

Noyes and Maytal teach all of the limitations of the claims but does not teach that a clock control device configured to halt the clock signal to the modern during the power-saving state and providing the clock during the normal operating state.

Zuranski teaches that a modern that implements a low-power state can be placed into said low-power state by reducing or stopping clock signals within the modern [col. 7, lines 14-26].

Art Unit: 2116

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to place the modem in a low-power state by reducing the clock signal as taught by Zuranski. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the processing elements of the modem consume less power than in active mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of implementing a low-power state in a modem apparatus. Moreover, the clock rate reduction means taught by Zuranski would improve the flexibility of Noyes and Maytal because it allowed the modem power consumption to be reduced by means other than simply reducing the power to the components. The means taught by Zuranski would also allow for partial speed and thermal throttling of the modem processors, if so desired.

#### Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments, filed June 8, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-15 under 35 USC 102b and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

## Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LYNNEH BLOWDE SUPERVISORY PATEUT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3000 ZJOD

Page 8

October 7, 2004

ec